



Eurozone Banks: Higher Reserve Requirements Would Dent Profits And Liquidity*



By Nicolas Charnay and Pierre Hollegien (Rating Analysts) S&P Global Ratings

JEL codes: E52, E58.

Keywords: Monetary Policy, Minimum Reserve Requirements, Central Banking and the Supply of Money and Credit, Bond Interest Rates, Banks.

As part of a review of its operational framework, the European Central Bank could decide to hike the minimum required reserves (MRR) for eurozone banks. Our analysis assesses the impact of such move on banking system's liquidity and profits. We conclude that the overall impact would be moderate, providing that the increase in MRR remains modest. That said, banks' excess reserves are unevenly distributed across the eurozone banking system and we believe higher MRR could have a more meaningful impact for some specific banks and countries. Moreover, we argue that a hike of MRR would accelerate the ongoing normalization of banks' funding costs.

*This note is based on a report published by S&P Global Ratings and titled <u>Eurozone Banks: Higher Reserve</u> <u>Requirements Would Dent Profits And Liquidity</u>. This policy note does not constitute a rating action. S&P Global's opinions, quotes, and credit-related and other analyses are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

SUERF Policy Notes (SPNs) focus on current financial, monetary or economic issues, designed for policy makers and financial practitioners, authored by renowned experts. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the institution(s) the author(s) is/are affiliated with.

Introduction

Eurozone banks' liquidity and profits could take a hit if the European Central Bank (ECB) hikes the minimum required reserves (MRR). The ECB recently embarked on a review of its operational framework, namely, the range of instruments that it uses to manage short-term market interest rates, and it expects to conclude this review by spring 2024. This includes setting the minimum reserve requirements for banks. The reserve requirement rate is currently 1% and represents the share of deposits, debt securities, and money market paper that banks operating in the eurozone must hold at the ECB.

S&P Global Ratings considers that a modest increase in MRR--for instance, to the historical level of 2%--would lead to a moderate reduction in eurozone banks' profits and liquidity. For eurozone banks in aggregate, and all else being equal, we estimate that a one percentage point increase in MRR could lead to an immediate gross reduction in profit before tax by 3.3% and in the liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) by 4.7%. Most European banks currently have comfortable profits and liquidity buffers, and, in our view, would likely take action to mitigate the impact of higher reserve requirements.

That said, such an increase could weigh on investor sentiment vis-à-vis eurozone banks. Furthermore, higher MRR could lead to a meaningful depletion of excess reserves for some banks that will also need to repay their targeted longer-term refinancing operations (TLTRO) funding by the end of 2024. This could be the case for some smaller Italian and, to a lesser extent, Greek banks. For these banks, we see the additional funding needs as manageable, but the reduction in excess reserves would contribute to the normalization of funding costs that we already see at play and expect for 2024.

We expect the ECB to act gradually and the impact to be manageable for eurozone banks. That said, there is a slight possibility that the ECB could decide to tighten banks' liquidity materially, for instance by raising the MRR into more restrictive territory. This scenario could challenge some banks' liquidity and funding conditions, considering the weak economic backdrop in the eurozone and the fact that recent rate hikes have yet to deliver their full effects.

In such a downside scenario, the ECB would still be able to address the liquidity needs of specific banks with its standard bank refinancing operations under the full allotment procedure, which we think it is unlikely to discontinue in the short term. However, the associated rise in funding costs could lead the affected banks to react aggressively to curb their funding needs, for instance, by cutting lending even further. This could have a knock-on effect on lending conditions and on banks' own asset quality in countries where banks have weaker funding and liquidity positions.

Higher MRR Would Dent Banks' Liquidity And Profits

Higher MRR would have two direct effects. First, it would lead to a reduction in banks' LCRs, all else being equal. This is because the ECB and national central banks (NCB) have agreed that only excess reserves can be considered as high-quality liquid assets--mandatory reserves cannot be withdrawn at any time during stress conditions and are therefore excluded from liquidity buffers. The ECB and NCB could change this stance and allow banks to count (a part of) their mandatory reserves as liquid assets, therefore (partly) neutralizing the impact on LCRs.

Second, banks would see a direct loss of interest income on the additional cash covering the increased MRR, because, as of last month, the ECB no longer remunerates minimum mandatory reserves. We have also found a negative correlation between banks' level of liquidity and their deposit pass-through rate, meaning that the

impact of increased MRR on liquidity could compound these direct effects on lost income (see "Protecting Liquidity Will Come At An Increasing Cost," published June 29, 2023).

Banks' Have Robust Enough Liquidity Buffers To Absorb A Moderate Increase In MRR

Our sensitivity analysis using ECB data shows that a moderate increase in MRR would not test most banking systems' liquidity unduly (see table 1). For eurozone banks in aggregate, we estimate that a one percentage point increase in MRR would lead to a 4.7% gross reduction in the LCR. This does not include any offsetting measures that the ECB could take (for instance, to recognize some required reserves as liquid assets) nor any reactive measures that banks could take to offset the negative impact on their LCR. With the aggregate LCR standing at 165% at end-March 2023, we consider that eurozone banks have ample room to absorb an increase in MRR.

That said, an increase in MRR beyond 2% could drag the LCR well below what we have seen in the past two-tothree years--for example, an increase to 4% MRR could lead the aggregate LCR to 150%. Although we do not see this as an issue per se, we consider that banks might decide to limit the LCR impact and avoid adverse market reactions, such as by being more selective in their lending decisions.

Table 1

Impact of increase in MRR on eurozone banks' LCRs

Sensitivity analysis

LCR (%)			Minin	num rese	erve requ	irements	(current	ly 1%)		
	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
Austria	171	168	165	163	160	157	154	152	149	146
Belgium	166	159	153	147	140	134	128	121	115	109
Germany	154	148	142	136	130	124	118	113	107	101
Spain	173	169	165	161	157	153	149	145	141	137
Finland	174	170	166	162	158	154	149	145	141	137
France	156	153	150	147	144	141	138	135	132	129
Greece	205	200	194	188	183	177	172	166	161	155
Ireland	180	173	166	159	153	146	139	132	126	119
Italy	194	187	181	174	167	161	154	147	141	134
Netherlands	156	153	150	147	144	141	138	135	132	129
Portugal	248	239	229	219	209	199	190	180	170	160
Slovenia	289	281	274	267	259	252	245	238	230	223
Eurozone*	165	160	155	151	146	142	137	133	128	123

Due to missing datapoints, we exclude Croatia, Cyprus, Estonia, Lithuania, Latvia, and Slovakia. Additionally, we exclude Luxembourg from the analysis because of discrepancies in the reporting of minimum reserves. LCR--Liquidity coverage ratio. MRR--Minimum reserve requirements.

Sources: ECB Data Warehouse, S&P Global Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Additionally, banks have room to shore up their liquidity buffers using unencumbered assets or generating new ones. The reason why TLTRO repayments have had little impact on banks' liquidity ratios so far is that banks have made use of the large amount of collateral coming back onto their balance sheets as a consequence of the repayments. We estimate that about 80% of this collateral comprises level 1 assets and less than 5% comprises level 3 assets with limited regulatory value.

Higher MRR Would Have A Negative But Uneven Impact On Banks' Profits

The absence of remuneration on minimum mandatory reserves implies a drag on banks' profits. However, we see the negative effect as manageable since it would occur as most eurozone banks' profits benefit from rising interest rates.

Raising the minimum reserve requirement by one percentage point would represent an average gross loss of about 1.7% of eurozone banks' 2022 net interest income and about 3.3% of their 2022 profit before tax (see tables 2 and 3). We see some discrepancies between banking systems, with those in Ireland and Lithuania seeing the greatest impact, followed by Cyprus, Germany and Italy.

Our analysis focused solely on the direct impact of higher MRR on revenues and left aside some less predictable consequences, such as an increase in funding costs as competition among banks for deposits and wholesale funding becomes fiercer. For simplicity's sake, we consider that eurozone banks would cover any increase in MRR using their ECB deposit facility, where they hold about \notin 3.6 trillion of excess reserves. In contrast to the minimum mandatory reserves, the deposit facility itself is remunerated, currently at a rate of 4%.

Table 2

Impact of increase in MRR on eurozone banks' net interest income

Sensitivity analysis

Decline in net			Minin	num rese	erve requ	irements	(current	ly 1%)		
interest income versus 2022 (%)	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
Austria	0	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(6)
Belgium	0	(2)	(4)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(11)	(13)	(15)	(16)
Croatia	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(12)	(14)
Cyprus	0	(2)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(11)	(14)	(16)	(18)	(20)
Germany	0	(2)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(12)	(14)	(16)	(19)	(21)
Estonia	0	(1)	(2)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(11)
Spain	0	(1)	(2)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(7)
Finland	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(12)	(14)	(15)
France	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(13)	(15)
Greece	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(13)
Ireland	0	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(19)	(23)	(27)	(31)	(35)
Italy	0	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(12)	(14)	(16)	(18)
Lithuania	0	(3)	(6)	(9)	(13)	(16)	(19)	(22)	(25)	(28)
Latvia	0	(1)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(5)	(6)
Malta	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(13)
Netherlands	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Portugal	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(13)	(15)
Slovenia	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(12)
Slovakia	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(6)	(7)	(9)	(10)	(12)	(13)
Eurozone	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(8)	(10)	(12)	(14)	(15)

Note: We exclude Luxembourg from the analysis because of discrepancies in the reporting of minimum reserves. MRR--Minimum reserve requirements. Sources: ECB Data Warehouse, S&P Global Ratings. Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Table 3

Impact of increase in MRR on eurozone banks' profit before tax

Sensitivity analysis

Decline in profit before tax			Mini	mum rese	erve requ	irement	(currentl	y 1%)		
versus 2022 (%)	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%
Austria	0	(1)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Belgium	0	(3)	(6)	(8)	(11)	(14)	(17)	(20)	(22)	(25)
Croatia	0	(2)	(4)	(7)	(9)	(11)	(13)	(16)	(18)	(20)
Cyprus	0	(9)	(18)	(27)	(36)	(45)	(54)	(63)	(72)	(81)
Germany	0	(6)	(13)	(19)	(26)	(32)	(38)	(45)	(51)	(58)
Estonia	0	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(13)	(15)	(17)
Spain	0	(2)	(4)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(11)	(13)	(14)	(16)
Finland	0	(2)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(11)	(14)	(16)	(18)	(21)
France	0	(3)	(5)	(8)	(10)	(13)	(16)	(18)	(21)	(24)
Greece	0	(2)	(4)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(12)	(14)	(16)	(17)
Ireland	0	(8)	(16)	(23)	(31)	(39)	(47)	(55)	(63)	(70)
Italy	0	(3)	(7)	(10)	(14)	(17)	(21)	(24)	(28)	(31)
Lithuania	0	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16)	(20)	(24)	(28)	(32)	(36)
Latvia	0	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Malta	0	(3)	(5)	(8)	(11)	(13)	(16)	(19)	(21)	(24)
Netherlands	0	(3)	(5)	(8)	(11)	(14)	(16)	(19)	(22)	(24)
Portugal	0	(3)	(6)	(9)	(11)	(14)	(17)	(20)	(23)	(26)
Slovenia	0	(2)	(3)	(5)	(7)	(9)	(10)	(12)	(14)	(15)
Slovakia	0	(3)	(5)	(8)	(11)	(13)	(16)	(19)	(21)	(24)
Eurozone	0	(3)	(7)	(10)	(13)	(16)	(20)	(23)	(26)	(29)

Note: We exclude Luxembourg from the analysis because of discrepancies in the reporting of minimum reserves. MRR--Minimum reserve requirements. Sources: ECB Data Warehouse, S&P Global Ratings. Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Higher MRR Alongside TLTRO Repayments Would Meaningfully Deplete Some Banks' Excess Reserves

Aside from the impact on liquidity ratios and profits, an increase in MRR would likely deplete eurozone banks' €3.6 trillion of excess reserves. The stock of excess reserves has been declining since November 2022 as banks repay TLTRO borrowings. However, the ratio between banks' TLTRO repayments and the contraction in their excess reserves has not been 1:1. Over the past year, the pass-through rate from TLTRO repayments to the reduction in excess reserves has been 64%. This means that the repayment of €1 of TLTRO funding led, on average, to a €0.64 decline in excess reserves, with discrepancies between countries (see chart 1).

Chart 1

Past TLTRO repayments have had uneven effects on excess reserves across eurozone banks

Decline in excess reserves relative to TLTRO repayments for selected eurozone banking systems between October 2022 and July 2023



Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Eurozone banks still have around \notin 500 billion of outstanding TLTRO funding to repay in full by end-2024. Starting from an indexed base of 100 (see table 4), we measured the potential impact on banks' stock of excess reserves of:

- TLTRO repayments, with varying pass-through rates from the repayments to the decrease in excess reserves; and
- An increase in MRR up to 10% from 1% currently, assuming that banks meet this increase by tapping their excess reserves.

We found that an increase in MRR to 3% would deplete banks' excess reserves by around 20%, based on a historical pass-through rate of TLTRO repayments to excess reserve depletion of 64%. At this rate, only MRR of 9%-10% would deplete the eurozone banking system of 50% of its excess reserves, or about €1.8 trillion.

Table 4

Combined impact of increase in MRR and TLTRO repayments on excess reserves

Decline in excess reserves (base 100: level as of end July 2023)

Eurozor	ne			Declin	e in exce	ess reser	ves as a	% of TLT	RO repa	yment		
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
	1%	100	98	97	95	93	92	90	88	87	85	84
nts	2%	95	94	92	90	89	87	86	84	82	81	79
eme	3%	91	89	88	86	84	83	81	79	78	76	74
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	4%	86	85	83	81	80	78	76	75	73	71	70
ı reserve requ (currently 1%)	5%	82	80	78	77	75	73	72	70	68	67	65
sen	6%	77	75	74	72	70	69	67	65	64	62	61
cr E	7%	72	71	69	67	66	64	63	61	59	58	56
nimu	8%	68	66	64	63	61	60	58	56	55	53	51
Ē	9%	63	62	60	58	57	55	53	52	50	48	47
	10%	59	57	55	54	52	50	49	47	45	44	42

MRR-Minimum reserve requirements. TLTRO--Targeted longer-term refinancing operations.

Sources: ECB Data Warehouse, S&P Global Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

This would lead to a more drastic reduction of banks' excess reserves in Italy, and to a lesser extent, in Greece (see chart 2). For these countries, returning MRR to its historical level of 2%, coupled with TLTRO repayments and a 64% pass-through rate, would lead to an overall depletion of excess reserves of about 70% in Italy and 45% in Greece (see chart 2). In our view, such a high level of reserve depletion would likely force some banks with weaker funding and liquidity positions to seek alternative refinancing options.

The impact on the banking systems in other eurozone countries appears more contained, as the drop in excess reserves would range between 10%-20% in most systems.

in MRR 100 Excess deposits 90 80 Excess deposits minus 64% of outstanding TLTRO amount 70 60 Excess deposits minus 64% of outstanding TLTRO amount % 50 and MRR rate at 2% Excess deposits minus 64% of 40 outstanding TLTRO amount 30 and MRR rate at 3% Excess deposits minus 64% of 20 outstanding TLTRO amount and MRR rate at 4% 10 0 Netherlands Luxemboure Croatia Eurozone Slovakia Estonia Germany Ireland Finland Malta Austria Lithuania Portugal spain Belgium Cyprus France Greece

Potential depletion of excess reserves due to TLTRO repayments* and an increase

Source: S&P Global Ratings, ECB Data Warehouse. *Assuming a 64% pass-through rate between TLTRO repayments and the decline in excess reserves, in line with the trend in the eurozone in the past year. MRR--Minimum required reserves. Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Divergences In Financial Performance Between Banks And Banking Systems Are Likely To **Surface**

The ECB's normalization of monetary policy is largely positive for eurozone banks. Most banks have benefited from the rise in interest rates as they have been able to reprice their assets faster than their liabilities in an environment of elevated liquidity and abundant deposits. We are seeing funding costs gradually catch up, and expect rated European banks' net interest margins to peak this year but remain relatively high (see chart 3).

Chart 2

Chart 3

Average net interest margins will peak in 2023, but with differences across European banking systems

Weighted average net interest margins for rated European banks



Source: S&P Global Ratings. A--Actual. F--Forecast. Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, All rights reserved.

We expect the ECB to gradually take further steps to normalize its monetary policies. A return of the MRR to its historical level of 2% would complement the TLTRO repayments and passive quantitative tightening already under way. These actions will further help normalize eurozone banks' funding costs and represent a manageable drag on earnings and liquidity.

That said, we also expect differences to emerge across jurisdictions and among banks within specific jurisdictions. Smaller players or those with weaker funding franchises are primarily at risk. Lower excess reserves could encourage fiercer competition between banks to attract new funding sources, including deposits or wholesale funding, or to reduce funding needs, for instance by curtailing lending.

More Restrictive ECB Policies Could Ultimately Affect Lending Conditions

We cannot exclude the slight possibility that the ECB could decide to materially tighten banks' liquidity, either by raising the MRR beyond moderate levels, or by significantly accelerating its quantitative tightening program (see "What An Acceleration Of Quantitative Tightening Could Mean For Eurozone Banks," published Sept. 13, 2023). This is a key risk for eurozone banks, and could lead them to act aggressively to protect their funding and liquidity positions.

The ECB would still be able to provide as much funding to solvent banks as necessary with its bank refinancing operations under the full allotment procedure. However, it could not prevent banks' management from seeking to protect liquidity, for instance by deleveraging their balance sheets. Such actions could ultimately affect the lending conditions and banks' asset quality in certain countries.

Appendix

Owent

Estonia

Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)

0%

1% 100
2% 96

3% 91

4% 875% 826% 78

7% 73

8% 69

9% 64

10% 60 60

Combined impact of increase in MRR and TLTRO repayments on excess reserves

Decline in excess reserves, specific system levels (base 100: level of excess reserves as of end July 2023)

Austri	ia		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	97	95	92	90	87	84	82	79	76	74
ently	2%	97	94	91	89	86	83	81	78	76	73	70
(curr	3%	93	91	88	85	83	80	77	75	72	70	67
ents	4%	90	87	84	82	79	77	74	71	69	66	64
irem	5%	86	84	81	78	76	73	71	68	65	63	60
requ	6%	83	80	78	75	72	70	67	64	62	59	57
erve	7%	79	77	74	72	69	66	64	61	58	56	53
n res	8%	76	73	71	68	65	63	60	58	55	52	50
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	72	70	67	65	62	59	57	54	52	49	46
Min	10%	69	66	64	61	59	56	53	51	48	46	43

Belgiı	ım		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	99	99	98	98	97	97	96	96	95	95
ently	2%	97	97	96	96	95	95	94	94	93	93	92
(curr	3%	94	94	93	93	92	92	91	91	90	90	89
ents	4%	92	91	91	90	90	89	89	88	88	87	87
irem	5%	89	88	88	87	87	86	86	85	85	84	84
requ	6%	86	86	85	85	84	84	83	83	82	81	81
erve	7%	83	83	82	82	81	81	80	80	79	79	78
n res	8%	81	80	80	79	79	78	77	77	76	76	75
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	78	77	77	76	76	75	75	74	74	73	73
Mir	10%	75	75	74	73	73	72	72	71	71	70	70

Croat	ia		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
ently	2%	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
(curre	3%	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91	91
ents (4%	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87	87
iremo	5%	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83
nbəu	6%	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79	79
erve	7%	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74	74
n res	8%	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66
Min	10%	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62

60 59 59 59 59

66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	Minimu	9%	80	78	76	74	
62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	Min	10%	78	76	74	72	
Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment		Finlar	nd		Decli	ne in e	xcess	re
10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%			0%	10%	20%	30%	4
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	99	99	99	1%)	1%	100	99	99	98	
95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	ently	2%	97	96	96	95	
91	91	91	91	91	91	91	90	90	90	curre	3%	94	93	93	92	
86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	86	ents (4%	91	90	90	89	
82	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	81	81	reme	5%	88	87	87	86	
78	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	77	requ	6%	85	84	84	83	
73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	72	erve	7%	82	81	81	80	
69	69	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	nimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	8%	79	78	78	77	
64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	64	63	imun	9%	76	75	75	74	
										2						

59 59 59 59

Cypru	IS		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	98	96	94	92	90	88	86	84	82	80
ently	2%	98	95	93	91	89	87	85	83	81	79	77
(curre	3%	95	93	91	89	87	85	83	81	79	77	75
ents	4%	93	91	88	86	84	82	80	78	76	74	72
irem	5%	90	88	86	84	82	80	78	76	74	72	70
requ	6%	88	86	84	82	79	77	75	73	71	69	67
erve	7%	85	83	81	79	77	75	73	71	69	67	65
n res	8%	83	81	79	77	75	72	70	68	66	64	62
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	80	78	76	74	72	70	68	66	64	62	60
Min	10%	78	76	74	72	70	68	65	63	61	59	57

Finlar	nd		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	99	99	98	98	97	96	96	95	95	94
ently	2%	97	96	96	95	95	94	93	93	92	92	91
(curre	3%	94	93	93	92	92	91	90	90	89	89	88
ents (4%	91	90	90	89	89	88	87	87	86	86	85
irem	5%	88	87	87	86	86	85	84	84	83	83	82
requ	6%	85	84	84	83	82	82	81	81	80	80	79
erve	7%	82	81	81	80	79	79	78	78	77	77	76
n res	8%	79	78	78	77	76	76	75	75	74	73	73
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	76	75	75	74	73	73	72	72	71	70	70
Min	10%	73	72	72	71	70	70	69	69	68	67	67

	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%													
	_	0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%		
(%) 1	%	100	98	97	95	93	92	90	88	87	85	83		
ently 5	%	97	95	93	91	90	88	86	85	83	81	80		
3 (curr	%	93	91	90	88	86	85	83	81	80	78	76		
stua	%	90	88	86	85	83	81	80	78	76	74	73		
irem 2	%	86	84	83	81	79	78	76	74	73	71	69		
nbə.	%	83	81	79	78	76	74	73	71	69	68	66		
erve e	%	79	78	76	74	73	71	69	67	66	64	62		
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%) 00 6 8 2 9 5 7 5 1	%	76	74	72	71	69	67	66	64	62	61	59		
aimur 8	%	72	71	69	67	66	64	62	61	59	57	55		
.⊑ ⊻ 10	%	69	67	66	64	62	60	59	57	55	54	52		

Germ	any		Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	99	98	97	96	94	93	92	91	90	89
ently	2%	96	95	93	92	91	90	89	88	87	86	84
(curre	3%	91	90	89	88	87	86	85	83	82	81	80
ents	4%	87	86	85	84	82	81	80	79	78	77	76
irem	5%	83	81	80	79	78	77	76	75	74	73	71
requ	6%	78	77	76	75	74	73	72	70	69	68	67
erve	7%	74	73	72	71	69	68	67	66	65	64	63
n res	8%	70	68	67	66	65	64	63	62	61	59	58
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	65	64	63	62	61	60	58	57	56	55	54
Min	10%	61	60	59	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50

Greece

Decline in excess reserves as a % of TLTRO repayment

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
ently 1%)	1%	100	94	88	82	76	70	64	59	53	47	41
	2%	93	87	81	75	69	63	57	51	45	39	34
(curre	3%	85	79	74	68	62	56	50	44	38	32	26
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	4%	78	72	66	60	54	48	43	37	31	25	19
	5%	71	65	59	53	47	41	35	29	23	17	12
	6%	63	57	52	46	40	34	28	22	16	10	4
	7%	56	50	44	38	32	26	21	15	9	3	(3)
	8%	49	43	37	31	25	19	13	7	1	(4)	(10)
	9%	41	36	30	24	18	12	6	0	(6)	(12)	(18)
Min	10%	34	28	22	16	10	5	(1)	(7)	(13)	(19)	(25)

Italy			Decli	ne in e	xcess	reserv	es as a	a % of	TLTRO	repay	ment	
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	91	81	72	63	54	44	35	26	17	7
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	2%	89	80	70	61	52	43	33	24	15	6	(4)
(curr	3%	78	68	59	50	41	31	22	13	4	(6)	(15)
ents	4%	67	57	48	39	29	20	11	2	(8)	(17)	(26)
irem	5%	55	46	37	28	18	9	(0)	(9)	(19)	(28)	(37)
requ	6%	44	35	26	16	7	(2)	(11)	(21)	(30)	(39)	(48)
erve	7%	33	24	15	5	(4)	(13)	(23)	(32)	(41)	(50)	(60)
n res	8%	22	13	3	(6)	(15)	(24)	(34)	(43)	(52)	(61)	(71)
imur	9%	11	1	(8)	(17)	(26)	(36)	(45)	(54)	(63)	(73)	(82)
Mir	10%	(0)	(10)	(19)	(28)	(37)	(47)	(56)	(65)	(75)	(84)	(93)

Decline in excess reserves as a % of TLTRO repayment

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	1%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	2%	91	91	91	91	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
	3%	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	81
	4%	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
	5%	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62	62
	6%	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53	53
	7%	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43
	8%	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
	9%	25	25	25	25	25	24	24	24	24	24	24
Min	10%	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15

Latvia	a Decline in excess reserves as a % of TLTRO repayment											
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
1%)	1%	100	100	100	99	99	99	99	99	99	98	98
ently	2%	97	96	96	96	96	96	95	95	95	95	95
Curre	3%	93	93	93	92	92	92	92	92	92	91	91
ents (4%	90	89	89	89	89	89	88	88	88	88	88
ireme	5%	86	86	86	86	85	85	85	85	85	84	84
requi	6%	83	82	82	82	82	82	81	81	81	81	81
erve	7%	79	79	79	79	78	78	78	78	78	77	77
nres	8%	76	75	75	75	75	75	75	74	74	74	74
Minimum reserve requirements (currently 1%)	9%	72	72	72	72	71	71	71	71	71	70	70
Min	10%	69	68	68	68	68	68	68	67	67	67	67

About the authors

Nicolas Charnay is a Senior Director in S&P Global Ratings' Financial Services Ratings team. Based in Frankfurt, he is a Sector Lead for European FIs and regularly publishes analytical commentaries on key sectoral trends and topics. He also chairs rating committees on major European banks. Before joining S&P Global Ratings in January 2022, Nicolas was an Adviser in banking supervision at the ECB. He holds a M.Sc. in Management from HEC Paris and an M.Sc. in Public Law from Sorbonne University.

Pierre Hollegien is an Associate Director in S&P Global Ratings' Financial Services Ratings team. Based in Paris, he covers a portfolio of banks in Southern Europe and in the Middle East along with some multilateral institutions in Europe. Pierre also contributes to research projects on the topic of European bank funding. Before joining S&P Global Ratings in 2016, he worked on Economic History for the research department of SciencesPo and University Paris Dauphine. He holds an M.Sc. in Economics from the London School of Economics.

SUERF Publications

Find more SUERF Policy Notes and Policy Briefs at www.suerf.org/policynotes

SUERF The European Money and Finance Forum

SUERF is a network association of central bankers and regulators, academics, and practitioners in the financial sector. The focus of the association is on the analysis, discussion and understanding of financial markets and institutions, the monetary economy, the conduct of regulation, supervision and monetary policy. SUERF's events and publications provide a unique European network for the analysis and discussion of these and related issues. SUERF Policy Notes focus on current financial, monetary or economic issues, designed for policy makers and financial practitioners, authored by renowned experts.

The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the institution(s) the author(s) is/are affiliated with.

All rights reserved.

Editorial Board: Ernest Gnan Frank Lierman David T. Llewellyn Donato Masciandaro Natacha Valla

SUERF Secretariat c/o OeNB Otto-Wagner-Platz 3 A-1090 Vienna, Austria Phone: +43-1-40420-7206 www.suerf.org • suerf@oenb.at